

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,317	07/02/2003		Mark A. Devine	BRK-P-1261	BRK-P-1261 4069	
24628	7590	01/06/2004		EXAMINER		
WELSH & KATZ, LTD				NGUYEN, KHIEM M		
120 S RIVE	RSIDE PL	LAZA				
22ND FLOOR				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	6		2839	2839	
		•		DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/612,317	DEVINE ET AL.					
omec Action Gammary	Examin r	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Khiem Nguyen	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shoet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disp sition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 2-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12)							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2839

10/612317

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior art (APA) in view of Hughes, Kwoka, and Chang.

The APA multi-wire interconnect system for electrical units discussed in the background of the invention lack adapter for allowing the system to interconnect with different electrical units than the one for which the existing connector was designed. The APA also lacks a first set of flexible, elongated conductors which terminate in respective rigid conducting prongs engageable with the existing connector.

Hughes, Kwoka and Chang all disclosed electrical adapters for electrical interconnection systems. Each carries a first set of electrical conductors and a second set of electrical conductors wherein the number of the second set of conductors is the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10.550,467

Art Unit: 2839

16/612317

same as the number of the first set of conductors, wherein members of the first set exhibit an electro-mechanical plug-type profile for engagement with socket elements of the existing connector and wherein members of the second set exhibit an electro-mechanical socket-type profile for engagement with a second plug, wherein the existing connector and the second plug are incompatable and not mutually engageable, wherein the first set of electrical conductors includes a plurality of flexible, elongated conductors which terminate in respective rigid conducting prongs engageable with the existing connector.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an adapter with the above mentioned features for the APA interconnect system in view of the teachings of Hughes, Kwoka and Chang. The use of electrical adapters in connector systems is old and well known in the and would allow the APA multi-wire interconnect system to connect with different electrical units than the one for which the existing connector was designed. Other minor varriations are deemed obvious design considerations (e.g., the various number and the selective arrangements of conductors in the connector housing).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 308 1738. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on 703 308 2710. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Khiem Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839